



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,177	01/02/2004	Raymond Smith JR.	0087-0110.02	3342	
7	590 09/13/2005	EXAMINER			
•	X, MCFARRON, MA	KEENAN, JAMES W			
CUMMINGS & Suite 2850	& MEHLER, LTD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
200 West Adams St. Chicago, IL 60606			3652 DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/751,17	77	SMITH, RAYMOND				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		James Ke		3652				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the	e application.						
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖾	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
/.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/12/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
7								

Il

Application/Control Number: 10/751,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. The status of the parent application as U.S. Patent No. 6,698,996 should be added to page 1 of the specification.

- 2. Claims 1-2 are objected to because of the following informalities: in the last line of each claim, "is" should be --in--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,698,996 in view of Mann (US 5,234,308) or Landoll et al (US 4,630,991), both cited by applicant.

Claim 1 of the Patent recites every feature of claim 1 except a post assembly with a notch for fitting around a locking pin on the truck frame to lock the rear bed portion in a travel position.

Mann shows a hinged bed vehicle including post 486 with notch 517 for fitting around finger 513 of locking pin 511 located on the truck frame 454 to lock the rear bed portion 456 in a ramp up (i.e., travel) position (see figure 25).

Page 3

Landoll et al show a similar vehicle including post 50, notch 62, and locking pin 42, as shown in figure 5.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the device described in claim 1 of the Patent with a notched post to lock the rear bed portion in a travel position, as shown by Mann or Landoll et al, as this would merely be the inclusion of a well known means of locking the sections of a hinged bed vehicle together during travel for safety purposes.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,698,996 in view of Mann or Landoll et al, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gerstner et al (US 5,662,453), cited by applicant.

Claim 1 of the Patent recites every feature of claim 2 except a notched dock lock to lock the rear bed portion in an elevated position for coupling with a dock.

Mann and Landoll et al, as noted above, each show a notched locking post but it is not for locking the rear bed portion in an elevated position for coupling with a dock.

Gerstner et al show a vehicle bed which can be elevated for coupling with a dock, as shown in figures 3A-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,177 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have further modified the device described in claim 1 of the Patent in view of Mann or Landoll et al so that the notched post could be used to lock the rear bed portion in an elevated position for coupling with a dock, in the manner shown by Gerstner et al, as this would allow greater flexibility and efficiency in loading and unloading the vehicle.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-6925. The examiner can normally be reached on (schedule varies).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eillen Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,177 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Keenan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 9/6/05